User Guide for BOD: Buckling-Restrained Brace and Connection Design Procedures

(Server: S13090101 / Client: C13090101)

Pao-Chun Lin
Keh-Chyuan Tsai
An-Chien Wu
Ming-Chieh Chuang

National Center for Research on Earthquake Engineering
Department of Civil Engineering, National Taiwan University

September 2013
PREFACE
The buckling-restrained brace (BRB) has been widely adopted in the past decades because of the cost-effectiveness. The National Center for Research on Earthquake Engineering (NCREE) has completed the seismic tests and the design recommendations for the welded-end slotted BRB (WES-BRB) members and connections [1, 2]. In addition, a cloud service entitled Brace on Demand (BOD) has been developed in NCREE. The designers could conveniently find the detailed design results of WES-BRB member and end connections through the BOD browser (http://BOD.ncree.org.tw). The results of drawings, calculations and spread sheet can be downloaded for preparing the construction document. The users are only required to input the frame geometry, the BRB steel grade and the axial yield force capacity into the BOD browser. Then, the server will perform all the detailed designs, check all the limit states, and output the corresponding WES-BRB dimensions and connection design results using the spreadsheet format. This document introduces complete design procedures and limit states of the WES-BRB member and its connections. It is based on the Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) demonstrated in the “Specification for Structural Steel Buildings” [3] and “Seismic Provisions for Structural Steel Buildings” [4] published by the American Institute of Steel Construction.
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1. THE WES-BRB DESIGN PROCEDURES

(1) Frame Configuration

The dimensional notations of the buckling-restrained braced frame (BRBF) in the diagonal and chevron configurations are shown in Figures 1a and 1b, respectively. The dimensions include the story height ($H_{col}$), beam span ($L_{beam}$), left and right column widths ($d_{c,\text{left}}$ and $d_{c,\text{right}}$), upper and lower beam depths ($d_{b,\text{upper}}$ and $d_{b,\text{lower}}$) and slab thickness ($t_s$).

![Figure 1. The BRBF with (a) diagonal and (b) chevron configurations.](image)

(2) BRB Steel Core Materials

Table 1 lists the three steel grades incorporated in the BOD program. The steel mechanical properties include the nominal yield stress ($F_y$), overstrength factor ($R_y$) and strain hardening factor ($\Omega_h$). The compression strength adjustment factor $\beta$ set equal to 1.15 in the BOD design procedure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel</th>
<th>$F_y$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$R_y$</th>
<th>$\Omega_h$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASTM A572 GR50</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1~1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM A36</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1~1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS SN490B</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1~1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(3) Maximum BRB Axial Force Capacity

The cross-sectional area of the BRB energy dissipation section \((A_c)\) can be computed according to the steel grade and the nominal yielding strength \((P_y)\) specified by the users:

\[
A_c = \frac{P_y}{F_y}
\]  

(1)

The maximum BRB compressive axial force capacity \((P_{\text{max}})\) can be calculated as follows:

\[
P_{\text{max}} = P_y \times R_y \times \Omega_y \times \beta
\]  

(2)

The maximum BRB tensile axial force capacity can be computed from \(P_{\text{max}} / \beta\).

(4) BRB Cross-sectional Dimensions

It can be found in the “Standard Drawing” in the BOD download, or from Figures 1a, 1b and 2 for details of the WES-BRB cross-sectional dimensions, where, \(t_c\) is the thickness of the steel core plate perpendicular to the gusset plate, \(B_c\) and \(D_c\) are the cross-sectional width and depth of the energy dissipation section, respectively. The \(t_j\) is the thickness of the steel core plate parallel to the gusset plate, \(B_j\) and \(D_j\) are the cross-sectional width and depth of the joint section, respectively. The \(A_c, A_j\) and \(A_t\) are the cross-sectional areas of the energy dissipation section, the joint section and the transition section, respectively. The \(A_t\) can be computed by averaging the \(A_c\) and \(A_j\).

As shown in Figure 2, \(L_{\text{wp}}\) is the distance from work point to work point, \(L_{\text{BRB}}\) is the total end-to-end length of the BRB component, \(L_{\text{sc}}\) is the steel casing length, \(L_c\) and \(L_t\) are the length of the energy dissipation section and transition section, respectively. The \(L_s\) is the length of joint section inside the steel casing. The \(L_{j,e}\) is the BRB joint end length. The total joint section length \(L_{j,wp}\) can be computed as \(L_{\text{wp}} - L_c - 2L_t\).

![Figure 2. The WES-BRB component.](image)

(5) BRB End-to-Gusset Connection Weld Requirements

The fillet weld length on the BRB-to-gusset connection \((L_w)\) as shown in Figures 3a and 3b can be computed as follows [1]:

\[
\phi \times 0.707 \times T_w \times (4L_w + D_j) \times (0.6F_{\text{ext}}) \geq P_{\text{max}}
\]

\[
\phi = 0.75
\]  

(3)

The fillet weld leg size \((T_w)\) equals to 0.8\(t_c\) and the weld material strength \(F_{\text{ext}}\) equals to 490 MPa in the BOD program. The slot length at the core plate ends of the joint section \((L_s)\) is 25 mm longer than \(L_w\) \((L_s = L_w + 25)\) and the slot width is 3 mm wider than gusset plate thickness \((t_{g,s} = t_g + 3)\) for the construction site fitting purpose.
Figure 3. The WES-BRB end-to-gusset connection details of the (a) corner gusset and (b) middle gusset.
(6) BRB Steel Core Dimensions in Joint Section

As shown in Figures 3a and 3b, an additional space of length ($\delta$) near each end of the steel casing is required. Styrofoam is applied in this zone to allow the BRB ends to be compressed without crushing into the infill mortar. The length $\delta$ is taken as $0.02L_c$ by assuming the BRB will be compressed to a 4% peak core strain. The distance from the steel casing ends to the edge of gusset plate ($L_n$) is set to be 25 mm longer than $\delta$ ($L_n = \delta + 25$). In addition, $L_c$ is set equal to $2L_n$ in the BOD. $L_c$ is the distance from the BRB end to the work point.

(7) BRB Effective Stiffness

When the BRB deforms into the inelastic ranges, the plastic deformation will concentrate at the energy dissipation section of the steel core. The energy dissipation section length ratio $\alpha$ is defined as follows [1]:

$$\alpha = \frac{L_c}{L_{wp}}$$

As noted above, the steel core joint section slots into the gusset. The beam and column sections will somewhat enlarge the cross-sectional area near the outer end of the joint section. Thus, the cross-sectional area of the joint section in enlarged to $1.2A_j$ for computing the BRB effective stiffness. The effective stiffness of the BRB ($K_{eff}$) can be computed from the inverse of the sum of three individual flexibilities, the energy dissipation section ($1/K\varepsilon$), transition section ($1/K_t$) and joint section ($1/1.2K_j$):

$$K_{eff} = \frac{1}{1/K\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{1/K_t} + \frac{1}{1.2K_j} = \frac{EA_jA_j}{L_cA_j} + \frac{L_{wp}A_j}{1.2}$$

where $E$ is the Young’s modulus of steel. If the BRBs are represented by truss elements in an analytical model, the length of the truss element can be work point to work point ($L_{wp}$) distance. The cross-sectional area of the truss element can be taken as the energy dissipation section cross-sectional area ($A_e$). In order to obtain the corresponding BRB effective stiffness ($K_{eff}$), the Young’s modulus of the truss element must be modified by the effective stiffness factor $Q$ defined as:

$$Q = \frac{K_{eff}}{EA_e/L_{wp}}$$

The value of the $Q$ factor is closely related to the value of $\alpha$. For a given BRB $L_{wp}$, the shorter the energy dissipation section length is, the smaller the $\alpha$ value and the larger the $Q$ factor will be. Furthermore, the BRB effective stiffness and the $Q$ factor will increase when the length and cross-sectional area of the transition or joint section are increased. In general, the value of $Q$ factor is ranging from 1.2 to 1.5 for a very long to a very short BRB, respectively. If it is necessary, the BOD users may specify an effective stiffness or the length ratio $\alpha$. Based on the users’ requirements, the BOD will adjust the cross-sectional area and the length of each section. The maximum value of the effective stiffness factor $Q$ acceptable in the BOD is set at 1.6.

(8) Steel Casing

The steel casing of the BRB must be strong enough to prevent the steel core from flexural buckling. Euler buckling strength of the steel casing must be greater than maximum BRB
axial force capacity. Thus, the moment of inertia ($I_{sc}$) of the steel casing must satisfy [5]:

$$I_{sc} \geq \frac{P_{\text{max}} L_{sc}^2}{\pi^2 E}$$

(7)

As shown in Figure 2, the steel casing length ($L_{sc}$) is $L_{BRRB} - 2L_w - 2L_n$.

2. GUSSET PLATE DESIGN PROCEDURES

(1) Corner Gusset Plate

For the gusset plate design, the BOD adopts the General Uniform Force Method (GUFM) [6] to compute the gusset-to-beam and gusset-to-column interface forces. The BOD also takes the additional force demands induced by the frame action effect into consideration.

As shown in Figure 4, the GUFM assumes that the gusset-to-beam and gusset-to-column interface forces act at the middle of the gusset plate length ($L_h$) and height ($L_v$), respectively. The interface forces and the brace axial force directions pass through the gusset control point to achieve the moment equilibrium. It also assumes that the gusset-to-beam interface force direction passes through the beam control point intersected by the beam center line and column face. Thus, the gusset control point can be determined. Using the static force equilibrium, the gusset-to-beam and gusset-to-column interface forces can be obtained as follows:

$$H_{uc} = \frac{P_{\text{max}} e_c \sin \varphi}{e_b + 0.5L_v}$$

(8)

$$V_{ub} = P_{\text{max}} \left[ e_b \left( (e_c + 0.5L_v) \cos \varphi - e_c \sin \varphi \right) \right]$$

(9)

$$H_{ub} = P_{\text{max}} \cos \varphi - H_{uc}$$

(10)

$$V_{uc} = P_{\text{max}} \sin \varphi - V_{ub}$$

(11)

where, $V_{uc}$ and $H_{uc}$ are the vertical and horizontal force components on the gusset-to-column interface induced by the brace axial force, respectively. The $V_{ub}$ and $H_{ub}$ are the vertical and horizontal force components on the gusset-to-beam interface induced by the brace force, respectively. The $e_c$ and $e_b$ are half of the column width and beam depth, respectively.

Figure 5 shows the equivalent strut model of the gusset plate when the frame action effect is considered [7]. The frame action forces are considered based on the maximum beam shear demand. The additional gusset-to-beam and gusset-to-column interface forces can be computed from the axial force in the equivalent strut induced from the beam shear. The width of the strut equals to the thickness of the gusset plate ($t_g$) and the depth is assumed to be half of the equivalent strut length ($0.5L_g$) [8]. The BOD considers the ultimate state when the beam plastic moment ($M_{p,\text{beam}}$) is developed at both the gusset tip and the opposite beam end. Thus, the corresponding beam shear demand ($V_{\text{beam}}$), no greater than the beam plastic shear capacity ($V_{p,\text{beam}}$), can be computed considering the beam clear span $L_{\text{clear}}$ and material overstrength ($R_{y,\text{beam}}$) [4]:

$$V_{\text{beam}} = \frac{2(R_{y,\text{beam}} M_{p,\text{beam}})}{L_{\text{clear}}} \leq V_{p,\text{beam}} = 0.6 R_{y,\text{beam}} F_{y,\text{beam}} f_w \left( d_b - 2t_f \right)$$

(12)
where $F_{y,\text{beam}}$ is the yield stress of the beam material, $t_w$ and $t_f$ are the thicknesses of the beam web and flange, respectively. For the single diagonal BRB configuration (Figure 1a), the beam clear span $L_{\text{clear}}$ equals to $L_{\text{beam}}-0.5d_{c,\text{left}}-0.5d_{c,\text{right}}-L_h$. For the chevron BRB configuration (Figure 1b), the $L_{\text{clear}}$ equals to $L_{\text{beam}}-0.5d_{c,\text{left}}-0.5d_{c,\text{right}}-L_{h,\text{left}}-L_{h,\text{right}}$. The $L_{h,\text{left}}$ and $L_{h,\text{right}}$ are the left and right corner gusset lengths, respectively. The beams of the BRBF are required to sustain the substantial large axial force induced by the BRB, thus, it is assumed that the beam flexural capacity is reduced by about 10%. Therefore, the strain hardening factor of 1.1 is not considered in computing the beam plastic moment.

Considering the compatibility condition, the horizontal deformation component of the equivalent strut ($d_{\text{strut},x}$) induced by the beam shear and the horizontal deformation component of beam top surface at the location of $0.6L_h$ ($d_{\text{beam},x}$) must be equal. Thus, the equivalent strut horizontal ($S$) and vertical ($N$) force components can be computed [7]:

$$S = \frac{d_b L_h V_{\text{beam}}}{4L_b / t_y + d_b L_h (0.3d_b + 0.18L_v)} \left[ 0.3 \left( L_{\text{beam}} - 0.5d_{c,\text{left}} - 0.5d_{c,\text{right}} \right) - 0.18L_h \right]$$ \hspace{1cm} (13)

$$N = \frac{d_b L_v V_{\text{beam}}}{4L_b / t_y + d_b L_h (0.3d_b + 0.18L_v)} \left[ 0.3 \left( L_{\text{beam}} - 0.5d_{c,\text{left}} - 0.5d_{c,\text{right}} \right) - 0.18L_h \right]$$ \hspace{1cm} (14)

As shown in Figure 6a, the BRB is being compressed with the beam-to-column corner open. Considering the combination of brace compressive force and frame action effects, the gusset-to-column horizontal ($H_{c,c}$) and vertical ($V_{c,c}$) force components and the gusset-to-beam vertical ($V_{b,c}$) and horizontal ($H_{b,c}$) force components can be computed:

$$H_{c,c} = S - H_{uc}$$ \hspace{1cm} (15)

$$V_{c,c} = N + V_{uc}$$ \hspace{1cm} (16)

$$H_{b,c} = S + H_{ab}$$ \hspace{1cm} (17)

$$V_{b,c} = N - V_{ab}$$ \hspace{1cm} (18)

As shown in Figure 6b, the BRB is being tensed with the beam-to-column corner close. Considering the combination of brace tensile force and frame action effects, the gusset-to-column horizontal ($H_{c,t}$) and vertical ($V_{c,t}$) force components and the gusset-to-beam vertical ($V_{b,t}$) and horizontal ($H_{b,t}$) force components can be computed as follows:
\[ H_{c,v} = S - H_{uc} / \beta \]  
\[ V_{c,v} = N + V_{uc} / \beta \]  
\[ H_{b,v} = S + H_{ub} / \beta \]  
\[ V_{b,v} = N - V_{ub} / \beta \]  

(19)  
(20)  
(21)  
(22)

Figure 6. The force distributions on the gusset interfaces with (a) the BRB is compressed when beam-to-column corner open, and (b) BRB is tensed when beam-to-column corner close.

The effectiveness of the aforementioned procedures in computing the corner gusset-to-beam and gusset-to-column interface force demands have been verified by large scale BRBF tests and finite element model analyses [2]. The complete gusset plate design procedures are as follows:

(a) Configure the gusset plate shape and thickness based on the geometry of the BRB connection and the architectural space requirements.

(b) The ASTM A572 GR 50 and CNS SN490B steel materials are recommended for the gusset plate design using the BOD. As shown in Figure 3a, the BOD designs the gusset plate free edges to be either horizontal or vertical.

(c) Calculate the gusset-to-beam and gusset-to-column interface force demands resulted from the BRB by using the GUFM (Equations 8 to 11).

(d) Calculate the gusset-to-beam and gusset-to-column interface force demands resulted from the frame action effect by using the equivalent strut model (Equations 13 to 14).

(e) Calculate the total force demands on the gusset-to-beam and gusset-to-column interfaces by using Equations 15 to 22.

(f) In the BOD, the fillet weld leg size on the gusset-to-beam \((T_b)\) and gusset-to-column \((T_c)\) interfaces will be computed as follows if \(t_e\) is less than 20 mm.

\[ \phi V_{an,c} \geq 1.25 \sqrt{V_{c,c}^2 + H_{c,c}^2} \]  
\[ \phi V_{an,b} \geq 1.25 \sqrt{V_{b,c}^2 + H_{b,c}^2} \]  

(23)  
(24)

where,  
\[ V_{an,c} = 2 \times 0.707 \times T_c L_c \left( 0.6 F_{exc} \right) \left[ 1 + 0.5 \sin \left( \tan^{-1} \frac{H_{c,c}}{V_{c,c}} \right) \right] \]  
\[ V_{an,b} = 2 \times 0.707 \times T_b L_b \left( 0.6 F_{exc} \right) \left[ 1 + 0.5 \sin \left( \tan^{-1} \frac{V_{b,c}}{H_{b,c}} \right) \right] \]  
\[ \phi = 0.75 \]
The complete joint penetration weld is adopted on the gusset-to-beam and gusset-to-column interface if the gusset plate thickness is greater than 20 mm.

(g) The gusset edge stiffeners are highly recommended for both the vertical and horizontal free edges of the corner gusset plate. As shown in Figure 3a, the gusset edge stiffener thickness \( t_{sf} \) is set no greater than 20 mm, but equals to the gusset plate thickness \( t_g \) when \( t_g \) is smaller than 20 mm. The width of the vertical \( w_{sf,v} \) and horizontal \( w_{sf,h} \) gusset edge stiffeners are set equal to the beam flange width but no greater than 300 mm. As illustrated in Figure 3a, the lengths of the vertical and horizontal gusset edge stiffener are \( L_{sf,v} \) and \( L_{sf,h} \), respectively. The clearances of 50 mm on gusset horizontal and vertical free edges from the cut corner are required for the WES-BRB installation.

(2) Middle Gusset Plate

The middle gusset plate in the V-shape or chevron BRBF configuration is required to transfer the two BRB forces without the frame action effect. However, there is an eccentricity exist between the gusset-to-beam interface weld and the BRBs’ work point as shown in Figure 7. Thus, the additional moment demand on the weld must be considered. Assume two BRBs are same size, the gusset-to-beam interface normal compressive force \( V_{b,mid} \), shear force \( H_{b,mid} \) and moment \( M_{b,mid} \) can be computed as follows:

\[
V_{b,mid} = P_{max} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \sin \varphi \tag{25}
\]

\[
H_{b,mid} = P_{max} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \cos \varphi \tag{26}
\]

\[
M_{b,mid} = H_{b,mid} e_h = P_{max} e_h \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \tag{27}
\]

The corresponding shear stress \( F_{s,mid} \), maximum tensile \( F_{t,mid} \) and compressive stresses \( F_{c,mid} \) can be computed as follows:

\[
F_{s,mid} = \frac{H_{b,mid}}{L_{h,mid} t_{g}} \tag{28}
\]

\[
F_{t,mid} = \frac{M_{b,mid}}{L_{h,mid} t_{g}^2 / 4} - \frac{V_{b,mid}}{L_{h,mid} t_{g}} \tag{29}
\]

\[
F_{c,mid} = \frac{M_{b,mid}}{L_{h,mid} t_{g}^2 / 4} + \frac{V_{b,mid}}{L_{h,mid} t_{g}} \tag{30}
\]

The strength method described in the model steel building codes [3] is adopted in BOD for the gusset-to-beam interface fillet weld design when the gusset plate thickness is smaller than 20 mm. The fillet welds are divided into several elements as shown in Figure 8. Then the total capacity is computed by summing all he individual weld segment strength. The nominal strength of each individual weld segment \( R_i \) can be computed as follows:

\[
R_i = 2 \times 0.707 \times T_{b,mid} \left( 0.6 F_{exc} \right) \left( 1 + 0.5 \sin^{1.5} \theta \right) \left( \frac{\Delta_l}{\Delta_m} \left( 1.9 - 0.9 \frac{\Delta_l}{\Delta_m} \right) \right)^{0.3} \left( \frac{L_{h,mid}}{10} \right) \tag{31}
\]
\[ \theta = \text{angle of loading measured from the weld longitudinal axis, (degrees)}. \]

\[ \Delta_i = r_i \left( \frac{\Delta_u}{r_{crit}} \right) \]

\[ \Delta_m = 0.209(\theta + 2)^{-0.32} T_{b,mid} \]

\[ \Delta_u = 1.087(\theta + 6)^{-0.65} T_{b,mid} \leq 0.17 T_{b,mid} \]

\[ T_{b,mid} = \text{Fillet weld leg size on the middle gusset-to-beam interface, (mm)} \]

\[ F_{exx} = \text{the weld material strength, (490 MPa)} \]

The middle gusset design procedures are as follows:

(a) Divide the weld configuration \((L_{h,mid})\) into several segments. The BOD divides the weld into 10 segments for the first iteration.

(b) Select an arbitrary value for \(T_{b,mid}\). The BOD adopts 5 mm for the first iteration.

(c) As shown in Figure 8, select trial location of instantaneous center \((r_0)\).

(d) As shown in Figure 8, assume the resisting force \(R_i\) at any weld segment acts in a direction perpendicular to the radial line from the instantaneous center to the centroid of the weld segment.

(e) Compute the angle \(\theta\) (degree) between the direction of the resisting force \(R_i\) and the axis of weld.

(f) Compute the deformations \(\Delta_m\) and \(\Delta_u\) which can occur at the particular \(\theta\) of the weld segment.

(g) Deformations on weld segments are assumed to vary linearly with the distance from the instantaneous center to the centroid of the weld segment. Thus, the critical segment is the one where the ratio of its \(\Delta_u\) to its radial distance \(r_i\) is the smallest.

(h) Compatible deformations \(\Delta_i\) are then computed at each weld segment.

(i) Compute the nominal strength \(R_i\) of each weld segment.

(j) Using statics, compute the load \(P_n\) that represents the nominal strength of the
connection when the load is applied at the given eccentricity $e_b$:

$$\sum M = 0, \quad P_i (e_i + r_i) = \sum R_i$$

$$\sum F_y = 0, \quad P_n = \sum R_{y,i}$$

(k) Compare the values of $P_n$ from the above two equations, if they are equal the solution is correct. If they are not equal, revise the trial value of $r_0$ and repeat the process.

(l) Once the value of $r_0$ is correctly chosen, recalculate the $P_n$ to meet the following equations by using appropriate weld size $T_{b,mid}$:

$$\phi P_{n,x} \geq H_{b,mid}$$

$$\phi P_{n,y} \geq V_{b,mid}$$

$$\phi = 0.75$$

If the middle gusset plate thickness is greater than 20 mm, the complete joint penetration weld is adopted for the gusset-to-beam interface welding. The gusset plate stiffeners are required to maintain the out-of-plan stability of the gusset plate. As shown in Figure 3b, the gusset stiffeners are required to satisfy the following requirements:

(a) The stiffener thickness ($t_{sf,mid}$) is equal to the middle gusset plate thickness ($t_g$) but should be no greater than 20 mm.

(b) The outer edge-to-outer edge width of the stiffener ($w_{sf,mid}$) is the same as the beam flange width ($w_b$).

(c) As shown in Figure 3b, a clear distance of 75 mm is required between the BRB ends to the beam flange bottom surface and gusset stiffener. The clear distance between the two gusset stiffeners ($L_{sf,mid}$) should be greater than half of the middle gusset plate height ($L_{sf,mid} \geq 0.5L_{v,mid}$).

(d) If the clear distance between the two gusset stiffeners ($L_{sf,mid}$) is smaller than $0.5L_{v,mid}$ when the aforementioned 75 mm clear distance requirement is satisfied, then the gusset stiffeners are adopted only at the middle of the gusset plate as shown in Figure 9.

(e) Additional beam web stiffeners with sufficient width and a thickness same as the gusset stiffener ($t_{sf,mid}$) are required at the gusset tips and locations align with the gusset stiffeners as shown in Figure 3b and 9.

![Figure 9. The middle gusset plate with single stiffener.](image)
3. DESIGN DEMAND-TO-CAPACITY RATIO (DCR) CHECKS

(1) Steel Casing Buckling (DCR-1)
Check the steel casing to prevent the steel core from flexural buckling using Equation 7.

(2) Joint Region Yielding (DCR-2)
\[ \phi A_j F_y R_y \geq \frac{P_{\text{max}}}{\beta} \]
\[ \phi = 0.90 \]  

(3) Joint Region Buckling (DCR-3)
\[ \phi P_{cr,\text{upper}} = \phi \times \min \left[ \frac{\pi^2 EI_{yj}}{4(L_{b,\text{upper}} + \delta)}, A_j F_y R_y \right] \geq P_{\text{max}} \]  
\[ \phi P_{cr,\text{lower}} = \phi \times \min \left[ \frac{\pi^2 EI_{yj}}{4(L_{b,\text{lower}} + \delta)}, A_j F_y R_y \right] \geq P_{\text{max}} \]  
\[ \phi = 0.90 \]

where, \( I_{yj} \) is the moment of inertia of the joint section in the gusset out-of-plan direction. \( L_{b,\text{upper}} \) and \( L_{b,\text{lower}} \) are the distances from the work points to the steel casing upper and lower ends, respectively.

(4) Gusset Plate Block Shear Failure (DCR-4)
\[ \phi P_n \geq P_{\text{max}} \]  
\[ \text{in which, } P_n = 0.6F_{u,g} A_{nv} + F_{u,g} A_{vt} \leq 0.6F_{y,g} A_{gr} + F_{u,g} A_{tt}, \quad \phi = 0.75. \]

As shown in Figures 3a, 3b and 10, the sectional area under the tension is \( A_{gt} = A_{nt} = D_j \times t_{gs} \), the sectional area under the shear is \( A_{gv} = A_{nv} = 2L_w \times t_{gs} \), \( F_{y,g} \) and \( F_{u,g} \) are the yield stress and tensile strength of the gusset steel.

Figure 10. The block shear failure of the BRB end-to-gusset connection.
(5) Gusset Plate Yielding (DCR-5)

The capacity of the gusset plate responsible for transferring the BRB tension can be computed by calculating the yielding capacity of the Whitmore section on the gusset plate [9]. The Whitmore section region is determined by extending the BRB end-to-gusset weld pattern at a 30-degree angle as shown in Figure 3a. The Whitmore section width $W_{\text{whitmore}}$ can be computed as follows:

$$W_{\text{whitmore}} = 2L_w \times \tan 30^\circ + D_j$$

(36)

The gusset yielding capacity can be calculated using the effective section width $B_e$, the smaller dimension of $W_{\text{whitmore}}$ or $W_{\text{actual}}$ as shown in Figures 3a and 3b. If the Whitmore section goes beyond the actual gusset plate region, the $W_{\text{actual}}$ considering the intersection of the gusset plate and the Whitmore section is adopted. The gusset plate yielding capacity can be computed as follows:

$$\phi F_{y,g} B_{e} t_{g} \geq P_{\text{max}} / \beta$$

$$\phi = 0.90$$

(37)

(6) Gusset Plate Buckling (DCR-6)

The gusset plate compressive strength can be computed by adopting the width $B_e$ and the average of the three critical lengths as the buckling length ($L_r$). The critical lengths $L_1$, $L_2$ and $L_3$ can be determined as shown in Figures 3a and 3b. If one of the ends of the width $B_e$ inserts with the beam or column face, the corresponding critical length is negative. The buckling length $L_r$ is computed from:

$$L_r = \frac{L_1 + L_2 + L_3}{3}$$

(38)

The gusset plate compressive strength can be computed from the following equation:

$$\phi \times P_{cr,g} = \phi \times (B_e \times t_g) \times F_{cr,g} \geq P_{\text{max}}$$

if $\lambda_e \leq 1.5$, $F_{cr,g} = 0.658 \lambda_e^2 F_{y,g}$

if $\lambda_e > 1.5$, $F_{cr,g} = \frac{0.877}{\lambda_e^2} F_{y,g}$

where $\lambda_e = \frac{K L_e}{\pi r} \sqrt{\frac{F_{y,g}}{E}}$, $K$ equals to 0.65 [10,11].

$$\phi = 0.90$$

(7) Gusset Strength at the Connections to the Beam and Column

(a) von Mises Yield Criterion (DCR-7-1 and DCR-7-4)

The maximum von Mises stress computed from the normal and shear stress under the maximum brace axial force and frame action effect must be no greater than the yield stress of the gusset plate material. The following requirements are considered:

$$\left( \frac{H_{v,c}}{L_t g + w_{eff} t_g} \right)^2 + 3 \left( \frac{V_{v,c}}{L_t g + w_{eff} t_g} \right)^2 \leq \phi F_{y,g}$$

(40)
Partial cross-sectional area of the gusset edge stiffener could be taken into account for the gusset-to-beam and gusset-to-column interface area. The effective width of the gusset edge stiffener ($w_{sf,eff}$) should be $2.5t_g$. The von Mises yield criterion for the middle gusset-to-beam interface should satisfy the following requirement:

\[
\left( \frac{V_{b,c}}{L_{h,mid} t_g + w_{sf,eff} t_{sf}} \right)^2 + 3 \left( \frac{H_{b,c}}{L_{h,mid} t_g + w_{sf,eff} t_{sf}} \right)^2 \leq \phi F_{y,g}
\]

\[
\phi = 1.00
\]

(b) Tensile Rupture (DCR-7-2 and DCR-7-5)

As illustrated in Figure 6, when the gusset-to-column interface force $H_{c,c} < 0$ or $H_{c,t} > 0$ and the gusset-to-beam interface force $V_{b,c} < 0$ or $V_{b,t} > 0$, these indicate that the corresponding interfaces are subjected to tensile force. The tensile rupture strength at the gusset-to-beam and gusset-to-column interfaces must satisfy the following requirements:

\[
H_{c,c} \geq 0 \quad \frac{H_{c,c}}{L_{h,mid} t_g + w_{sf,eff} t_{sf}} \leq \phi F_{u,g}
\]

\[
H_{c,t} \leq 0 \quad \frac{H_{c,t}}{L_{h,mid} t_g + w_{sf,eff} t_{sf}} \leq \phi F_{u,g}
\]

\[
V_{b,c} \geq 0 \quad \frac{V_{b,c}}{L_{h,mid} t_g + w_{sf,eff} t_{sf}} \leq \phi F_{u,g}
\]

\[
V_{b,t} \leq 0 \quad \frac{V_{b,t}}{L_{h,mid} t_g + w_{sf,eff} t_{sf}} \leq \phi F_{u,g}
\]

where, $w_{sf,eff} = 2.5t_g$. The middle gusset-to-beam interface rupture strength must satisfy the following requirement:

\[
F_{t,mid} = \frac{M_{b,mid}}{L_{h,mid} t_g / 4} - \frac{V_{b,mid}}{L_{h,mid} t_g / 4} \leq \phi F_{u,g}
\]

\[
\phi = 0.75
\]

(c) Shear Rupture (DCR-7-3 and DCR-7-6)

\[
\frac{V_{c,c}}{L_{h,mid} t_g + w_{sf,eff} t_{sf}} \leq \phi \tau_{u,g} = \phi \left( 0.6 F_{u,g} \right)
\]

\[
\frac{H_{b,c}}{L_{h,mid} t_g + w_{sf,eff} t_{sf}} \leq \phi \tau_{u,g} = \phi \left( 0.6 F_{u,g} \right)
\]

where, $w_{sf,eff} = 2.5t_g$. The middle gusset-to-beam interface must satisfy the following requirement:
\[
F_{s,\text{mid}} = \frac{H_{b,\text{mid}}}{L_{b,\text{mid}}} \leq \phi r_{u,g} = 0.6\phi F_{u,g} \\
\phi = 0.75
\]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DCRs</th>
<th>Diagonal</th>
<th></th>
<th>Chevron</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCR-1 Steel Casing</td>
<td>DCR-1</td>
<td>DCR-1 left</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DCR-1 right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCR-2 Joint Region</td>
<td>DCR-2</td>
<td>DCR-2 left</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DCR-2 right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckling Yielding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCR-3 Joint Region</td>
<td>DCR-3</td>
<td>DCR-3 left</td>
<td>DCR-3</td>
<td>DCR-3 right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckling</td>
<td>upper</td>
<td>lower</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>upper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lower</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCR-4 Gusset Plate</td>
<td>DCR-4</td>
<td>DCR-4 left</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DCR-4 right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Shear Failure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCR-5 Gusset Plate</td>
<td>DCR-5</td>
<td>DCR-5 left</td>
<td>DCR-5</td>
<td>DCR-5 right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yielding</td>
<td>upper</td>
<td>lower</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>upper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lower</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCR-6 Gusset Plate</td>
<td>DCR-6</td>
<td>DCR-6 left</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DCR-6 right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckling</td>
<td>upper</td>
<td>lower</td>
<td></td>
<td>right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCR-7-1 Gusset Strength</td>
<td>DCR-7-1</td>
<td>DCR-7-1 lower</td>
<td>DCR-7-1</td>
<td>DCR-7-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at the Connection to the</td>
<td>upper</td>
<td>lower</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>mid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam – von Mises Yield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criterion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCR-7-2 Gusset Strength</td>
<td>DCR-7-2</td>
<td>DCR-7-2 lower</td>
<td>DCR-7-2</td>
<td>DCR-7-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at the Connection to the</td>
<td>upper</td>
<td>lower</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>mid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam – Tensile Rupture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCR-7-3 Gusset Strength</td>
<td>DCR-7-3</td>
<td>DCR-7-3 lower</td>
<td>DCR-7-3</td>
<td>DCR-7-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at the Connection to the</td>
<td>upper</td>
<td>lower</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>mid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam – Shear Rupture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCR-7-4 Gusset Strength</td>
<td>DCR-7-4</td>
<td>DCR-7-4 lower</td>
<td>DCR-7-4</td>
<td>DCR-7-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at the Connection to the</td>
<td>upper</td>
<td>lower</td>
<td>left</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column – von Mises Yield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criterion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCR-7-5 Gusset Strength</td>
<td>DCR-7-5</td>
<td>DCR-7-5 lower</td>
<td>DCR-7-5</td>
<td>DCR-7-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at the Connection to the</td>
<td>upper</td>
<td>lower</td>
<td>left</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column – Tensile Rupture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCR-7-6 Gusset Strength</td>
<td>DCR-7-6</td>
<td>DCR-7-6 lower</td>
<td>DCR-7-6</td>
<td>DCR-7-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at the Connection to the</td>
<td>upper</td>
<td>lower</td>
<td>left</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column – Shear Rupture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Diagonal Configuration

Diagonal Configuration
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notations of frame</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Upper</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Left</th>
<th>Right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d_b$ Beam depth</td>
<td>$d_b,\text{upper}$</td>
<td>$d_b,\text{lower}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_c$ Column width</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$d_c,\text{left}$</td>
<td>$d_c,\text{right}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e_b$ Half of beam depth</td>
<td>$e_b,\text{upper}$</td>
<td>$e_b,\text{lower}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e_c$ Half of column width</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$e_c,\text{left}$</td>
<td>$e_c,\text{right}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_{col}$ Story height</td>
<td>$H_{col}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{beam}$ Beam span</td>
<td>$L_{beam}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_s$ Slab thickness</td>
<td>$I_s$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_b$ Beam width</td>
<td>$w_b,\text{upper}$</td>
<td>$w_b,\text{lower}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_c$ Column depth</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$w_c,\text{left}$</td>
<td>$w_c,\text{right}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notations of BRB</th>
<th>Diagonal</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Left</th>
<th>Right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_e$ Energy dissipation section cross-sectional area</td>
<td>$A_e$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_j$ Joint section cross-sectional area</td>
<td>$A_j$</td>
<td>$A_j,\text{left}$</td>
<td>$A_j,\text{right}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_t$ Transition section cross-sectional area</td>
<td>$A_t$</td>
<td>$A_t,\text{left}$</td>
<td>$A_t,\text{right}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_e$ Energy dissipation section cross-sectional width</td>
<td>$B_e$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_j$ Joint section cross-sectional width</td>
<td>$B_j$</td>
<td>$B_j,\text{left}$</td>
<td>$B_j,\text{right}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_e$ Energy dissipation section cross-sectional depth</td>
<td>$D_e$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_j$ Joint section cross-sectional depth</td>
<td>$D_j$</td>
<td>$D_j,\text{left}$</td>
<td>$D_j,\text{right}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_b$ Distance from work point to steel casing end</td>
<td>$L_b,\text{upper}$</td>
<td>$L_b,\text{lower}$</td>
<td>$L_b,\text{left}$</td>
<td>$L_b,\text{right}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{BRB}$ BRB end-to-end length</td>
<td>$L_{BRB}$</td>
<td>$L_{BRB,\text{left}}$</td>
<td>$L_{BRB,\text{right}}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_c$ Energy dissipation section length</td>
<td>$L_c$</td>
<td>$L_c,\text{left}$</td>
<td>$L_c,\text{right}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_e$ Distance from BRB end to work point</td>
<td>$L_e,\text{upper}$</td>
<td>$L_e,\text{lower}$</td>
<td>$L_e,\text{left}$</td>
<td>$L_e,\text{right}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{je}$ Joint end length</td>
<td>$L_{je}$</td>
<td>$L_{je,\text{left}}$</td>
<td>$L_{je,\text{right}}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{je,sp}$ Joint section length</td>
<td>$L_{je,sp}$</td>
<td>$L_{je,sp,\text{left}}$</td>
<td>$L_{je,sp,\text{right}}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_n$ Distance from the steel casing ends to the gusset plate</td>
<td>$L_n$</td>
<td>$L_n,\text{left}$</td>
<td>$L_n,\text{right}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_s$ Slot length at the core plate ends of joint section</td>
<td>$L_s$</td>
<td>$L_s,\text{left}$</td>
<td>$L_s,\text{right}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{sc}$ Steel casing length</td>
<td>$L_{sc}$</td>
<td>$L_{sc,\text{left}}$</td>
<td>$L_{sc,\text{right}}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_t$ Transition section length</td>
<td>$L_t$</td>
<td>$L_t,\text{left}$</td>
<td>$L_t,\text{right}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_w$ Fillet weld length on BRB end-to-gusset connection</td>
<td>$L_w$</td>
<td>$L_w,\text{left}$</td>
<td>$L_w,\text{right}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{wp}$ Distance from work point to work point</td>
<td>$L_{wp}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_c$ thickness of steel core plate perpendicular to the gusset plate</td>
<td>$t_c$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_j$ thickness of steel core plate parallel to the gusset plate</td>
<td>$t_j$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_w$ Fillet weld leg size on BRB-to-gusset connection</td>
<td>$T_w$</td>
<td>$T_w,\text{left}$</td>
<td>$T_w,\text{right}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta$ Length of space for BRB to be compressed</td>
<td>$\delta$</td>
<td>$\delta,\text{left}$</td>
<td>$\delta,\text{right}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$ BRB incline angle</td>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notations of gusset plate</th>
<th>Diagonal</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Left</th>
<th>Middle</th>
<th>Right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L_b$ Gusset plate length</td>
<td>$L_b,\text{upper}$</td>
<td>$L_b,\text{lower}$</td>
<td>$L_b,\text{left}$</td>
<td>$L_b,\text{mid}$</td>
<td>$L_b,\text{right}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{sf,mid}$</td>
<td>Clear distance between the two stiffener of middle gusset plate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{sf,h}$</td>
<td>Horizontal gusset edge stiffener length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{sf,v}$</td>
<td>Vertical gusset edge stiffener length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_v$</td>
<td>Gusset plate height</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_b$</td>
<td>Fillet weld leg size on gusset-to-beam interface</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_c$</td>
<td>Fillet weld leg size on gusset-to-column interface</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_g$</td>
<td>Gusset plate thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{sf,eff}$</td>
<td>Gusset edge stiffener effective width</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{sf,h}$</td>
<td>Horizontal gusset edge stiffener width</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{sf,mid}$</td>
<td>Outer edge-to-outer edge width of middle gusset stiffener</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{sf,v}$</td>
<td>Vertical gusset edge stiffener width</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>