# Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit of Existing Distribution Reservoirs in Taipei City

 By Professional Engineer
Chen Hsiang, Mike, Lu
From Sinotech Engineering Consultants

## Nangang distribution reservoir - Location

This paper presents the main results of the seismic safety assessment for the Nangang distribution reservoir in Taipei city.









Structure Data							
Item	Item Details						
GPS (N)	North Latitude 25.048587						
GPS(E)	East Longitude 121.616180						
Structure Type	Reinforced Concrete						
Length	34.02m						
Width	27m						
Depth(m)	5						
Tank Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	883						
Tank Capacity(ton)	5000						
Design Year	1980						
Construction Finished Year	1988						



### Nangang Distribution Reservoir Damage Photos



Corrosion of imbeded reinforcement



#### **Concrete Spalling**



Guide wall cracks



Efflorescence: migration of a <u>salt</u> to the surface of a porous material, where it forms a coating

### **Buckled Reinforcement**

-ta



Corrosion of imbeded reinforcement



### Floor cracks



Column Capital

Earthquake Coefficient DesignYear 1980 ●Kh=0.16 Current Code: Kh=0.24 for concrete structure Kh=0.18 for earthquake soil pressure

Distribution Reservoir SEISMIC ASSESSMENT

Code

Seismic Design of Potable Water Tank Structure" [2] drafted by the National Center for Research on Earthquake Engineering (NCREE) of Taiwan in 2016.

# Distribution Reservoir Analysis Loading Combination



# **Existing Structure Details**

	Structure Data			
Item	Details			
Structure Type	Reinforced Concrete			
Length	34.02m			
Width	27m			
Depth(m)	5	יבי ארבי		
Tank Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	883			
Tank Capacity(ton)	5000			
Design Year	1980			
Construction Finished	1988	因		
real		E-F-F		





## **Existing Structure Details**

X 向:  $d_{\text{max}} = \frac{L}{2}C_cI = 34.02 / 2 * 0.021 * 1.5 = 0.52 \text{ m}$ 



Y  $m : d_{max} = \frac{L}{2}C_cI = 9/2 * 0.158 * 1.5 = 1.07 \text{ m}$ 

Free board is only 30cm+-

<u>G1=10.1<sup>M</sup> (完成)</u>



## Sap 2000 Analysis Model



Figure 6. Moment Contours of Nangang Distribution Reservoir (roof slab removed for clarity)

### Shear failure location of column



Figure 7. Shear Demand and Capacity of Columns

....

[07]

The simplified Westergaard's formula 1

$$P = \frac{7}{12} k_h \gamma_w H_L^2$$

where P = total hydrodynamic force,  $k_h = 0.4 S_{DS}$  and  $H_L =$  water depth.

1



Figure 12. Dynamic Model of Liquid-Containing Tank [Fig. R9.1 ACI 350.3]

L(m)	$H_{L}(m)$	L/H <sub>L</sub>	$P_i$ (tf/m)	$P_{c}(tf/m)$	M <sub>imp</sub> (tf-m/m)	M <sub>conv</sub> (tf-m/m)	M <sub>comb</sub> (tf-m/m)
1.25	5.0	0.25	2.46	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.49
2.5	5.0	0.5	4.94	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
5.0	5.0	1.0	8.64	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94
10.0	5.0	2.0	11.59	3.31	3.31	3.31	3.31
15.0	5.0	3.0	12.31	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60
20.0	5.0	4.0	12.44	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.40
25.0	5.0	5.0	12.46	3.06	3.06	3.06	3.06
30.0	5.0	6.0	12.47	2.74	2.74	2.74	2.74
35.0	5.0	7.0	12.47	2.45	2.45	2.45	2.45
40.0	5.0	8.0	12.47	2.21	2.21	2.21	2.21
45.0	5.0	9.0	12.47	2.01	2.01	2.01	2.01









面 Δ-Δ 1 = 100

16

## REMARK

From the results of comparison study, it was indicated that the simplified Westergaard formula produces higher hydrodynamic force than the Housner's approach does when the ratio of the tank length to the water depth is relatively small (<2.0).</p>

Therefore, larger tanks in Taipei city designed during 1980s~1990s like the Nangang distribution reservoir, the hydrodynamic force calculated by using the simplified Westergaard formula in design was probably underestimated, which may fail to meet the requirements of the new design code.

