



Quantification of Topography Effect on Seismic Ground Motion: A Case Study in Northern Taiwan

Yi-Ching Lo¹, <u>Li Zhao²</u>, and Shu-Huei Hung¹

Department of Geosciences, National Taiwan University, Taiwan
Institute of Earth Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taiwan

Motivations for Studying Topography Effect

- The Earth is not flat. Some regions have drastic variation in surface relief, e.g. ±4 km in Taiwan region over ~100-km distance.
- Topography affects wave propagation and therefore arrival times and amplitudes of seismic waves
- Neglecting topography:
 - Topography-induced travel time anomalies introduce biases in seismic tomography models
 - Topography-induced amplitude anomalies lead to unrealistic ground motion predictions

Studying Topography Effects on Ground Motion by Numerical Simulations

Southern California



Northern Taiwan Taipei basin I-Lan plain

Lee et al. (2009)

- Surface topography influences the intensity of ground motion by focusing, defocusing and scattering of seismic waves.
- Topography has been ignored in most ground motion studies, leading to biases in PGV and PGA predictions.

Numerical simulations show that the effect of topography on PGV predictions can be up to $\pm 50\%$ for ground motion of ~0.5 Hz (Ma et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2009).

Finite-difference Method (FDM)

• Newton's second law:

$$\rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} + \mathbf{f},$$

• Hooke's Law:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{C} : \frac{1}{2} [\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^T] - \mathbf{m}',$$

• Surface topography : curvilinear grids transformed to Cartesian grids

- FDM code by Zhang et al. (2012)
- Grid spacing: 300 m horizontally and variable vertically (171.4 m near surface and increasing to 782.6 m at ~ 60-km).
- Accurate waveforms up to 0.8 Hz
- FD simulations on the IES HPC cluster



Taiwan: 3D Model and Topography





- Regional model from travel time tomography (Kuo-Chen et al., 2012).
- ETOPO1 topography: 1 arc-minute (~1.85 km). Up to ~6 km topography contrast over 100-km distance in northern Taiwan.

Sources and Stations

25.0°

24.5



120.5°

121.0°

121.5°

122.0°

38 Stations (BATS and TAIGER)

Comparison of Waveforms (Z component)



Black: *"record"* (with topography)

Red: "Synthetics" (flat surface)

Measuring Time and Amplitude Anomalies



- Compute *synthetic* autocorrelation C_A and *record-synthetic* crosscorrelation C_C
- Bandpass filter the correlations around 3 frequencies: 0.1Hz, 0.2Hz and 0.5Hz
- Time anomaly: lag time of $C_{\rm C}^{\rm max}$ Amplitude anomaly: $\delta \ln A = \frac{C_{\rm C}^{\rm max} - C_{\rm A}^{\rm max}}{C_{\rm A}^{\rm max}}$



Frequency-dependence of Topography Effect



Topography effect on S-wave amplitude has a complex pattern.

P-wave Travel Time Anomalies due to Topography



- P-wave delay times due to topography can be up to ~0.4 s.
- Delay times from topography have no clear relationship with epicentral distance; increase with elevation.
- Delay times have larger variation at longer periods (red and green symbols) due to finite-frequency effect.



S-wave Travel Time Anomalies due to Topography





- S-wave delay times due to topography can be up to ~0.7 s.
- Delay times from topography have no clear relationship with epicentral distance; increase with elevation.
- Delay times have larger variation at longer periods (red and green symbols) due to finite-frequency effect.



S-wave Amplitude Anomalies due to Topography



- S-wave amplitude anomalies due to topography have slightly negative mean, i.e. amplitude reduction.
- Topography effect on amplitudes has no clear relation with either distance or station elevation.



Topography Effects vs. Distance



•7 km

•15 km

 \circ 23 km

Source Depths:

S wave travel time anomaly 0.5 0.40.3 0.2 ðt (s) 0.1 slope: -0.000049 (s/km 0.0 -0.1 -0.2 -0.3 20 100 120 4060 80 140Epicentral distance (km)

- In northern Taiwan, topography induces up to 0.4 s and 0.7 s in P- and S-wave delay times, respectively, and up to 80% in S-wave amplitude anomaly.
- P- and S-wave delay times have positive means and no dependence on distance
- Amplitude anomalies for S wave have slightly negative mean and no dependence on distance.

Topography Effects vs. Station Elevation



Variation with Back Azimuth: P-wave Travel Times



SBCB : Western Foothills with a low elevation of ~70.6 m TATO : Taipei Basin with an elevation of ~123.0 m YHNB : Central Range with a relatively high elevation of 934.9 m.

Topography-induced delay times δt :

- No clear variation in the topography-induced P-wave delay times with back azimuth.
- Clearly positive with larger values at high-elevation YHNB and smaller values at lowelevation SBCB.

Variation with Back Azimuth: S-wave Amplitudes



Topography-induced amplitude anomalies $\delta \ln A$:

- Amplitude anomalies show complex variation with azimuth.
- A majority of amplitude anomalies are negative (reduction).

Summary

- In northern Taiwan, topography induces up to 0.4 s and 0.7 s in Pand S-wave delay times, respectively, and up to 80% in S-wave amplitude anomaly.
- Topography effect leads to positive anomalies in P- and S-wave travel times (delays), and slightly negative anomalies in S-wave amplitudes (reduction).
- Delay times increase with station elevation but have no dependence on epicentral distance. Amplitude anomalies do not depend on either station elevation or epicentral distance.
- Topography-induced travel time delays do not vary with azimuth; whereas topography effect on amplitude varies with azimuth in a complex fashion.